Balanced Donor Coordination

FELIX BRANDT, Technical University of Munich, Germany
MATTHIAS GREGER, Technical University of Munich, Germany
EREL SEGAL-HALEVI, Ariel University, Israel
WARUT SUKSOMPONG, National University of Singapore, Singapore

Charity is typically done either by individual donors, who donate money to the charities that they support, or by centralized organizations such as governments or municipalities, which collect the individual contributions and distribute them among a set of charities. On the one hand, individual charity respects the will of the donors but may be inefficient due to a lack of coordination. On the other hand, centralized charity is potentially more efficient but may ignore the will of individual donors.

We present a mechanism that combines the advantages of both methods by distributing the contribution of each donor in an efficient way such that no subset of donors has an incentive to redistribute their donations. Assuming Leontief utilities (i.e., each donor is interested in maximizing an individually weighted minimum of all contributions across the charities), our mechanism is group-strategyproof, preference-monotonic, contribution-monotonic, maximizes Nash welfare, and can be computed using convex programming.

For Leontief utility functions with binary weights, the mechanism we propose is egalitarian both for projects and donors, and can be computed via linear programming and a simple best-response dynamics.

A full version of this paper can be found at https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.10286

CCS Concepts: • Applied computing \rightarrow Economics; • Computing methodologies \rightarrow Cooperation and coordination; • Theory of computation \rightarrow Algorithmic mechanism design; Exact and approximate computation of equilibria.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: donor coordination, mechanism design, collective decision making, Leontief preferences

ACM Reference Format:

Felix Brandt, Matthias Greger, Erel Segal-Halevi, and Warut Suksompong. 2023. Balanced Donor Coordination. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM Conference on Economics and Computation (EC '23), July 9–12, 2023, London, United Kingdom.* ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1 page. https://doi.org/10.1145/3580507.3597729

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based on work supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under grants BR 2312/11-1 and BR 2312/12-1, by the Israel Science Foundation under grant number 712/20, by the Singapore Ministry of Education under grant number MOE-T2EP20221-0001, and by an NUS Start-up Grant. We are grateful to Florian Brandl for helpful discussions including the proposal of the best response dynamics together with a proof idea, and the participants of the 3rd Ariel Conference on the Political Economy of Public Policy (September 2022), the joint Microeconomics Seminar of ETH Zurich and the University of Zurich (March 2023), the Bar-Ilan Computer Science Seminar (April 2023) and the Hebrew University of Jerusalem Econ-CS seminar (May 2023) for their insightful comments.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

EC '23, July 9–12, 2023, London, United Kingdom © 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0104-7/23/07. https://doi.org/10.1145/3580507.3597729